

REMARKS

This is submitted as a full and complete response to the outstanding election requirement. The Examiner has required an election of species grouping the species as A-D and setting forth which figures relate to those species. The Examiner further suggests that Claims 1-24 and Claim 26 read on Species A, Claim 25 reads on Species D, Claim 28 reads on Species A, B, and C and Claims 29 -31 read on Species A. Moreover, Claims 1, 32 and 33 are generic.

The Applicants herewith elect to continue prosecution on the claims of Species A. A new set of claims has been introduced and all but claim 60 reads on Species A. Claim 60 reads on Species D but Claim 60 is a dependent claim. If Claim 34 is found allowable then Claim 60 should be found allowable. The election is made without traverse. The elected claims are new Claims 34-59 and 61 -63.


It will be seen that the subject matter of the original Claims 29-30 have been made dependent from Claim 34 and original method Claims 23 and 33 have been deleted and replaced with a new method claim, Claim 63, which tracks independent device claim 34.

Early and favorable action on the elected new claims is courteously awaited.

Respectfully submitted,

JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC

By:


John C. Holman
Reg. No. 22769

400 Seventh Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-2201
(202) 638-6666
Atty. Docket: P71002US0
Date: December 8, 2008